

RECORD OF PROCEEDING

---

---

**SOUTHEASTERN COLORADO WATER  
CONSERVANCY DISTRICT**

**MINUTES**

**February 17, 2011**

A regular meeting of the Board of Directors of the Southeastern Colorado Water Conservancy District was held on Thursday, February 17, 2011 at 10:04 a.m., at the District office, 31717 United Avenue, Pueblo, Colorado.

President Long announced a quorum was present.

**DIRECTORS PRESENT:**

|                                  |               |               |
|----------------------------------|---------------|---------------|
| Bill Long                        | Ann Nichols   | Scott Reed    |
| Greg Johnson                     | Gary Bostrom  | Kevin Karney  |
| Gibson Hazard                    | Vera Ortegón  | Lissa Pinello |
| Reed Dils                        | David Simpson |               |
| Alan Hamel-Advisory Board Member |               |               |

**DIRECTORS ABSENT AND EXCUSED:**

Carl McClure, Howard ‘Bub’ Miller, Harold Miskel, and Shawn Yoxey.

**DISTRICT OFFICIALS PRESENT:**

Executive Director James Broderick; Administrative Manager Toni Gonzales; Project Manager Phil Reynolds; Finance Coordinator Tina White; Director of Engineering and Resource Management Bob Hamilton; Conservation Outreach Coordinator Jean Van Pelt; Administrative Assistant Leann Noga; Legal Counsel Steve Leonhardt, Lee Miller, and Alix Joseph.

**VISITORS PRESENT:**

Roy Vaughan and Carlie Ronca, U.S. Bureau of Reclamation; Mark Carmel, Merrick & Company; Rick Kienitz, Aurora Water; Curtis Mitchell, City of Fountain; Pat Edelmann, U.S. Geological Survey; Chris Woodka, The Pueblo Chieftain; Steve Witte, Colorado Division of Water Resources-Division 2; Kevin Meador, Black & Veatch; Jerry Peña, MWH; Dwight Gardner, U.S. Senator Michael Bennet’s office; Doris Morgan, Congressman Cory Gardner’s office; Tony Keenan, Arkansas River Outfitters Association; Dan Henrichs, High Line Canal Company; Jim Baldwin, Otero County; Steve Harrison, Pueblo West Metropolitan District; Bill Hower, Beulah Water; Shirley Klipfel, Pueblo; Scott Campbell, Colorado Canal; Joe Rall, Congressman Doug Lamborn’s office; Sean Chambers, Cherokee Metro; Roy Heald, Security Water District and Fountain Valley Authority; Christine Thiebaut, Senator Udall’s office; and Ken Weber.

RECORD OF PROCEEDING

---

---

**INTRODUCTION OF VISITORS:**

President Long welcomed the visitors to the meeting, and asked them to introduce themselves and identify the organization they represented.

**APPROVAL OF MINUTES:**

President Long asked if the members of the Board had received their copy of the minutes of the January 20, 2011 Board meeting, and if there were any corrections or additions. Hearing none, Mr. Reed moved, seconded by Mr. Johnson, to approve the minutes. Motion unanimously carried.

**FINANCE COMMITTEE REPORT:**

Treasurer Ann Nichols reported the draft financial statement for December 2010 was posted to the Board website for review. Treasurer Nichols moved, seconded by Mr. Hazard, for acceptance of the draft December 2010 financial statement and payment of the February 2011 bills. Motion unanimously carried.

**CONSENT ITEMS:**

None

**PRESENTATIONS:**

**HISTORICAL DITCHES**

Alix Joseph gave a PowerPoint presentation titled, *Irrigation and Water Supply Ditches and Canals in Colorado, 1787-1960, Multiple Property Documentation Form*. She reviewed the following:

- Multiple Property Documentation Form
- What is Covered by the Proposal?
- Is the Fry-Ark Project Covered?
- What Does it Mean to be Listed on the National Register?
- Why is Everyone Talking About this Proposal?
- Impacts to the Water Community if the Proposal is Accepted.
- Consequences of Listing for water Supply Structures.
- Possible Effects on Southeastern.
- Next Steps

**ARCHIVES AND RECORDS MANAGEMENT PROGRAM MANUAL AND RETENTION SCHEDULE**

Leann Noga reported in April 2008 she introduced the records management reconfiguration to the Board. The reorganization of the filing system was due to lack of storage space and need for a more efficient retrieval of documents. A mobile filing unit was installed in the vault to maximize the total storage space.

The project began with a detailed inventory of all records, which were boxed and indexed. There were approximately 400 boxes. All records were then reclassified, color coded, filed, and recorded in a searchable data base.

RECORD OF PROCEEDING

---

---

The Archives and Records Management Program Manual was created as a step-by-step instruction of how to classify, code, file, and record District and Enterprise records. The manual includes procedures for retention and removal of records.

Mrs. Noga was applauded for the great job she did on the completion of this project.

**ACTION ITEMS:****WATER COURT RÉSUMÉ**

Mr. Hamilton reported staff and legal counsel have looked closer at the Divisions 2 and 5 applications presented in the Board's January Board packets as informational items. There are five (5) cases in the Division 2 Water Court Résumé for December 2010, and one (1) case in the Division 5, December 2010 Résumé, that require Board action. In several of these cases filing Statements of Opposition is recommended to ensure that appropriate terms and conditions (mostly based on previous related cases) will be included in the decree resulting from these applications. In the City of Fountain's Chilcott Ditch case, a Stipulation in Lieu of filing a Statement of Opposition can be achieved, and may be more appropriate than filing a Statement of Opposition.

In Water Division 5, the one case is a Recreational In-Channel Diversion (RICD) application by Pitkin County which could have potential impacts on the Fryingpan-Arkansas Project South Side Collection System. Mr. Hamilton reviewed each case in more detail.

Water Division 2:

Application 10CW85, by Lower Arkansas Water Management Association (LAWMA), to change to new types and place of use, for the Sisson Ditch, and additional shares of the Fort Bent Ditch and the Highland Canal. LAWMA has other shares in these three ditches that were changed in Case 02CW181. LAWMA wants to use these new shares in the 02CW181 Plan for Augmentation. Staff recommends that the District file a Statement of Opposition in this case to ensure that the terms and conditions that were negotiated in 02CW181 case also apply to this application.

Application 10CW91, also by LAWMA, would add seven (7) new wells to LAWMA's 02CW181 decreed Plan for Augmentation. The 02CW181 decree has a paragraph allowing the addition of more structures to be augmented under the decree by filing an application with the Water Court. Staff also recommends that the District file a Statement of Opposition in this case to ensure that the terms and conditions that were negotiated in 02CW181 case also apply to this application.

Mr. Bostrom moved seconded by Mrs. Pinello, to authorize legal counsel to file a Statement of Opposition in LAWMA Case Nos. 10CW85 and 10CW91 to ensure terms and conditions from earlier LAWMA decrees are included in decrees for these two (2) Cases. Motion unanimously carried.

Application 10CW96, by Rocky Mountain Materials & Asphalt, for Change of Water Rights and Place of Use and Plan for Augmentation for 400 shares of the Union Ditch and Water Company near Florence.

RECORD OF PROCEEDING

---

---

Applicant seeks to obtain approximately 80 acre-feet of consumptive use water to augment depletions from its existing gravel mining facilities near Portland, and any new mine(s) it may acquire. The application also seeks the right to lease excess consumptive use water to other entities along the Arkansas River.

Mr. Hazard moved, seconded by Mr. Johnson, that legal counsel file a Statement of Opposition in Rocky Mountain Materials' Case No. 10CW96 to seek terms and conditions similar to those negotiated in Florence's Union Ditch change decrees for any decree in this case, and terms and conditions limiting the ability to lease excess water for changed uses to prevent injury and speculation. Motion unanimously carried.

Application 10CW98, by the City of Victor (an out of District entity) for two (2) Appropriative Rights of Exchange, both from Pueblo Reservoir. The first is to the Altman pump station and other points on West Beaver Creek, the second is to the Bison Park Reservoir Intake located on the East Fork of the West Beaver Creek. This is a case that proposes to use Fry-Ark Project facilities (specifically Pueblo Reservoir as the lower terminus of the exchange reaches) for their exchanges. The City of Victor has been getting annual "Temporary Water Storage Contracts" from the Bureau of Reclamation since 2009. Staff and legal counsel recommend that the District file a Statement of Opposition in this case to ensure that provisions for the City of Victor's use of Fry-Ark facilities are on comparable terms and conditions to other out of District entities.

Mr. Johnson moved, seconded by Mr. Dils, that legal counsel file a Statement of Opposition in City of Victor Case 10CW98 to seek sufficient terms and conditions for the City of Victor's use of Fry-Ark facilities. Motion unanimously carried.

Application 10CW99, by the City of Fountain for the Change of Water Rights for an additional 13 shares of the Chilcott Ditch Company, and for authorization to include these shares and additional shares of the Chilcott Ditch Company as may be acquired by the City into the City's Plan for Augmentation and its exchange into Pueblo Reservoir (pending in Case No. 01CW108). It is recommended that legal counsel complete a "Stipulation in Lieu" of filing a Statement of Opposition in this case. The Board was provided a copy of the Draft Stipulation. Mr. Leonhardt reported that Fountain has approved the "Stipulation" and is prepared to sign and file it, if approved by the District, by the end of February 2011.

Mr. Bostrom moved, seconded by Mrs. Pinello, that legal counsel execute the "Stipulation in Lieu" of filing a Statement of Opposition in this case.. Motion unanimously carried.

#### Water Division 5

In the Division 5 December Résumé, Case 10CW305 is a Recreational In-Channel Diversion (RICD) application by Pitkin County. The reach of the RICD is on the Roaring Fork River just upstream of the Town of Basalt and its confluence with the Fryingpan River. The RICD reach is about a quarter mile in length and has up and downstream structures. One issue that gives staff a little problem is the fact the County applied for a right that will not exceed 1,500 cfs at the upper structure and will not exceed 2,000

RECORD OF PROCEEDING

---

---

cfs at the lower structure. Staff cannot find any sources water within that quarter mile reach that would contribute 500 cfs to the flow of the Roaring Fork. Staff is recommending that the District file a Statement of Opposition in this because the effect on the Fryingpan-Arkansas South Side Collection System, specifically the Hunter Creek Diversions, is unknown at this time.

Mr. Simpson moved, seconded by Mr. Karney, that legal counsel file a Statement of Opposition in Pitkin County's Case 10CW305 to seek sufficient terms and conditions in the decree protecting the Fry-Ark Project's Hunter Creek Diversions. Motion unanimously carried.

#### PETITION THE DISTRICT COURT CONFIRMING THE INCLUSION OF THREE PARCELS ANNEXED TO THE CITY OF PUEBLO

Mr. Hamilton reported the District has previously included properties located outside of the District which were annexed by towns and cities in the District, as provided by statute and Court Order. Board action on such annexation inclusions was not authorized by statute until 1999. At the September 16, 2010 Board meeting, the Board approved three resolutions, subject to Reclamation's assent to the inclusions.

Reclamation did an environmental review on the parcels, and last month Reclamation assented to the inclusion of these three parcels into the District. To complete the inclusion process, the District must petition the District Court to confirm the inclusion of these three parcels described by the City of Pueblo Ordinance Numbers 5513, 6605, and 7800 into the District.

Mr. Hazard moved, seconded by Mr. Johnson, that staff and legal counsel petition the District Court for confirmation of inclusion of the three parcels described by the City of Pueblo Annexation Ordinance Numbers 5513, 6605, and 7800. Motion passed, with Mrs. Ortegón recusing herself from the vote.

#### LEGAL MATTERS

##### Upper Arkansas Water Conservancy District (Case No. 08CW106) – Trout Creek Ditch/Moltz Well Exchange

Mr. Leonhardt reported this is an application filed by Upper Arkansas Water Conservancy District (UAWCD) in which it seeks a decree for an exchange of water on Cottonwood Creek for storage upstream from the Trout Creek Ditch Company Ditch. The exchange would be facilitated by a reduction in diversions from Cottonwood Creek through the Trout Creek Ditch, replaced by a related irrigation well (the "Moltz well") on Paul Moltz's Trout Creek Ranch (located east of the Arkansas River, just southeast of Buena Vista). UAWCD's application stated that the Moltz well diversion and exchange storage would use UAWCD's decreed augmentation supplies, including its allocated Fry-Ark Project water. The Board was provided a location map that UAWCD has provided for this case.

This case is set for a 6-day trial beginning May 31, 2011. Southeastern's expert disclosures and report are due on February 10, but UAWCD's counsel has agreed to an extension of this deadline until February 25, anticipating that this filing will be unnecessary if a stipulation is approved at the February 17 Board meeting. The main issue of concern to Southeastern has been the prospect of Project water

RECORD OF PROCEEDING

---

---

being used for diversion or to augment depletions from the Moltz well, which is located outside Southeastern's District boundaries.

Mr. Leonhardt said UAWCD provided a revised proposed decree, which reasonably addresses Southeastern's main concerns. Mr. Leonhardt recommended that the Board approve and authorize legal counsel to execute a stipulation for Southeastern, providing Southeastern's consent to the revised proposed decree, to resolve Southeastern's participation in this case. Mrs. Pinello moved, seconded by Mr. Bostrom, to approve Mr. Leonhardt's recommendation. Motion unanimously carried.

Security Water District (Case No. 09CW92) - Addition of Bender Wells to Augmentation Plans

Mr. Leonhardt reported Case No. 09CW92 is an application filed by Security Water District to augment depletions from its two Bender tributary wells in the Widefield Aquifer near Fountain Creek, using its Fountain Mutual shares, Fry-Ark Project water allocations and return flows (both sewer and non-sewer), and other decreed consumable water rights as augmentation sources. Security recently obtained a decree in a similar case involving augmentation of its Venetucci wells (Case No. 07CW51). Southeastern stipulated to decree terms in that case, as well as a similar case filed by Fountain.

The Board was provided a copy of Security's revised draft decree, which includes the revision legal counsel requested. Mr. Leonhardt recommended the Board authorize legal counsel to execute a standard stipulation, agreeing to entry of the revised proposed decree, to resolve Southeastern's participation in this case. Mrs. Pinello moved, seconded by Mr. Dils, to approve Mr. Leonhardt's recommendation. Motion unanimously carried.

**RECLAMATION REFORM ACT ADMINISTRATIVE FEE BILLING**

Mr. Hamilton reported Reclamation conducted a Water District Review (WDR) audit of the District's Reclamation Reform Act (RRA) paperwork during the week of September 20-24, 2010. Prior to this WDR, routine audits were conducted by staff from the Pueblo Field office and the Eastern Colorado Area Office in Loveland and usually took one day in the field and two days in the office. The scope of work for these routine audits was generally minimal.

The WDR is a very extensive review of all the District's reporting landholders RRA paperwork. As a result, a large number of documents were sent back to landholders for correction and many more were corrected by staff using information obtained from communications with landholders. Currently, the RRA auditors are still reviewing the files of 160 landholders owning or leasing more than 960 acres. There may be additional Administrative Fees assessed on some of these landholders, the amounts of which are unknown at this time. Reclamation's audit of these files will be spread out over the next several months and there may be additional Administrative Fees assessed in the third or fourth quarters of this year.

The District's arrangements and contracts with Reclamation are as facilitator for collection and payment of these Administrative Fees. Staff is recommending that the District pay these Administrative Fees and not bill the landholders. In the future, the consequences of this type of an audit will be to assess the landowner and canal companies the RRA Administrative Fees. If fees are not paid the landowner or

RECORD OF PROCEEDING

---

---

canal companies will be listed as ineligible to receive Fryngpan-Arkansas Project water or Winter water.

Due to the WDR, staff is implementing suggestions from the auditors. The District's methodologies have improved and staff is assisting landowners in correctly submitting their documents to Reclamation. The scope of the District's responsibilities is such that our assistance is a valuable asset to the landholder community.

Reclamation forwarded to the District seventeen (17) separate bills, for collection of Reclamation RRA Administrative Fees totaling \$8,990 for landholders whose RRA paperwork was incomplete or incorrectly filled out. The fees are assessed for landholders' noncompliance with the RRA form requirements. The District will inform the landholders and ditch companies that the District will pay the Administrative Fees this one time.

A RRA Administrative Fees payment in the amount of \$8,990 is due to Reclamation on March 4, 2011. In anticipation of the Administrative Fee billing by Reclamation, the District budgeted \$7,830 in expenses in the 2011 Budget. This leaves a deficit of \$1,160. The budget deficits will be incorporated into the revision of the 2011 budget.

Mrs. Ortégón moved, seconded by Mr. Reed, to authorize the District to pay the Bureau of Reclamation \$8,990 in Reclamation Reform Act Administrative Fees. The District will not bill the landholders, but will inform them and the canal companies that this is a one-time only occurrence, and in the future, the landholders will be responsible for the fees. Motion unanimously carried.

**MONTHLY REPORTS:****U.S. BUREAU OF RECLAMATION REPORT**

Roy Vaughan presented a PowerPoint presentation reviewing the following:

- As of February 14, there were 238,114 acre-feet stored in Pueblo Reservoir; 151,422 acre-feet of Project water; 46,857 acre-feet of Excess Capacity water; 32,048 acre-feet of new Winter water; 7,787 acre-feet of carryover Winter water. There is 1,528 acre-feet of last year's Ag Project water.
- There is currently 105,527 acre-feet of Project space in Pueblo Reservoir and 51,812 acre-feet of space in Turquoise and Twin Lakes Reservoirs.
- Upper Colorado River Basin Time Series Snowpack Summary.
- SNOTEL Graphs for:
  - Fry-Arks Collection System
  - Kiln
  - Independence Pass

Mr. Vaughan reported the January 1 forecast for water is 72,600 acre-feet. He said the estimated acre-feet for the Conservation Pool is 14,515.

RECORD OF PROCEEDING

---

---

Mr. Vaughan reviewed the Corps of Engineers waiver for the flood pool. He reported on the following:

- The Waiver would be requested by Pueblo Conservancy District, they are responsible for maintaining the Pueblo flood levee
- Colorado Division of Water Resources would be administering the Winter water decree
- Kansas water interests would be protected
- Division of Wildlife would be consulted
- SECWCD would have to be flexible with the Ag Project water deadline
- Would allow work on the levee to be completed prior to runoff
- Allow water users below Pueblo more flexibility for later use of their water
- Would not restrict water users from requesting releases at anytime
- This Would be a One-Time Request
- Would be for Different Reasons than Past Requests

Mr. Broderick asked Mr. Vaughan if the District should write a letter to the Corps of Engineers (Corps), and Mr. Vaughan said a formal letter to the Corps in support of the flexibility of water releases would be appreciated.

Mr. Vaughan then asked Mr. Witte to review the issue further. Mr. Witte presented a PowerPoint titled, *Pueblo Levee Repairs*, reviewing the following:

- Arkansas River Kayak Course
- Levee Cracking at Drop Structure #4
- View from Construction Berm
- Cofferdam
- Reduced Flows Required to Place Cofferdam
- Flows that may be Anticipated in March and April
- Objectives
- Three Things that Tend to Encourage Early Releases
- Unknowns

Mr. Simpson left the meeting at 11:56 a.m.

#### DIVISION ENGINEER'S REPORT

Steve Witte said his office is continuing to work well with the NRCS in interpreting whether or not proposed improvements are subject to the Rules. There are a lot of new improvements going in; many are not subject to the regulations. Communications are continuing with Heath Kuntz of Leonard Rice Engineering, who is continuing to enroll participants in the LAVWCD Rule 10 Plan and expects to contact known improvements that have not enrolled regarding their plans to comply with the Rules.

RECORD OF PROCEEDING

---

---

U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY REPORT

Mr. Edelman reviewed the following:

- Snow Conditions-Upper Colorado Region
- U.S. Seasonal Drought Outlook
- Arkansas Colorado and Rio Grande Spring and Summer Streamflow Forecasts as of February 1, 2011

ARKANSAS BASIN ROUNDTABLE

Mr. Broderick reported HB1068 was withdrawn. He said a Technical committee will be set up to resolve the issues.

COLORADO WATER RESOURCES AND POWER DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

Ann Nichols submitted the following written report:

At the October Board meeting, the loan interest rates for 2011 were set as follows: the direct loan rate for both SRF programs was maintained at the current rate of 2.0 percent; the disadvantaged community program rate will remain at current levels – i.e. 1 percent for disadvantaged communities with median household incomes (MHI) from 61 percent to 80 percent of the State MHI average and 0 percent for communities with MHI's below 61 percent of the State average. The interest rate for interim loans will remain at the current 3.5 percent. Leveraged loans under the SRF Programs have been at a fixed rate of 2.5 percent for the last two years. This rate was reset at 70 percent of the rate obtained on the applicable SRF bond issues – i.e. a 30 percent subsidy from market interest rates. In previous years, this rate was 80 percent of the applicable bond interest rate.

One loan request was considered and approved by the Board – a \$7.3 million loan under the Water Revenue Bond Program for Donala Water & Sanitation District for various system improvements and connection to the Colorado Springs Utilities distribution system – pending execution of a water service agreement with the Utilities.

Given the continuing unavailability of grants for small, low income systems, the Board is discussing the possible creation of a small grant program, using Authority resources, to assist low income communities with critical water and wastewater compliance issues.

The Water Quality Control Division, over the last year, has briefed the Authority several times on the need for a viable funding source for non-point source pollution in the State. In their view, future efforts to materially improve the water quality in the State will have to focus on the considerable non-point source pollution evident in the State. They especially identified the problem with “legacy mining areas” within the State. While non-point source pollution is eligible for funding under the Water Pollution Control Revolving Fund (WPCRF), these projects rarely prioritize high enough to get grant funding and rarely have available sources of revenue adequate to secure an Authority loan. Any long term effort to deal with non-point source pollution will have to redefine the priority points assigned to projects under the WPCRF program. As a prelude to this effort, which will involve substantial stakeholder involvement and input, the Division requested that the Authority budget for a number of “site studies” in 2011 to help

RECORD OF PROCEEDING

---

---

characterize the magnitude of this non-point source pollution problem. The initial site studies to be budgeted for 2011 will be in the range of \$200,000 - \$500,000. The final amount agreed to by the Authority in December was \$365,000 to be expended over a two year period.

The Authority has been discussing the potential financial impacts of the proposed Nutrient Standards for a number of months. Due to the lack of funding available to CDPHE, and the perceived importance of providing the WQCC with relevant cost/benefit information before any rule making, the Authority has agreed to provide \$400,000 to the Water Quality Control Division so that they may contract for such a study. To provide adequate time for a study of this sort, the Authority requested that the rule making hearing for the Nutrient Standards be delayed until early 2012. The WQCC recently agreed to this delay. The Division is currently developing an RFP for the cost/benefit study and will be developing a process for stakeholder input into the RFP and the subsequent study process.

**COLORADO WATER CONSERVATION BOARD**

Reed Dils submitted the following written report:

The CWCB spent Monday, February 14 finalizing the SWSI 2010 Mission Statement, Key Findings and Recommendations. We reviewed written comments and additional verbal public comments, and discussed the final version with staff. We came up with 16 recommendations and an overall recommendation...”CWCB, the IBCC, and basin roundtables should now enter an implementation phase to determine and pursue projects and methods to help meet the state’s consumptive and nonconsumptive water supply needs. This will be accomplished through the implementation of “...those 16 recommendations. There is also a final draft document called “Colorado’s Water Supply Future”, SWSI 2010 Initiative.

Colorado Healthy Rivers Fund (CHRF): Since 2002 this fund has funded 52 projects. Over 95,000 citizens have donated over \$700,000. Often, this money is used to leverage funds from private and government sources. On average, each dollar generated by the CHRF has leveraged another \$7 to complete projects. Projects benefit the local ecology, community, and economy. CWCB is working with the legislature to reauthorize this legislation.

Recently decreed instream flow water rights in Division 2 are on Baldwin Creek in Chaffee County which is a tributary of Chalk Creek, and Middle Creek in Custer County.

Funding for the remainder of 2010-11 and 2011-12 will be tight. I expect any increases in Severance tax dollars will be siphoned off to balance the state budget. The Board is still reeling from a loss of \$10M from the Construction Fund in FY08/09 and \$110M from the Severance Tax Trust Fund, FY08/09, 09/10, and 10/11. A specific funding request in the 2011 Water Projects Bill is being made to begin the implementation of the Arkansas DSS (\$500,000).

The Draft phase of the Colorado River Water Availability Study is progressing. Additional outreach, model, analysis, and reporting refinements are being completed. Refinements include climate

RECORD OF PROCEEDING

---

---

projections, model refinements, and reporting to clarify expectations, limitations, uncertainty, use results, and lessons learned.

The Board was made aware of issues involving a report entitled “Irrigation and Water Supply Ditches and Canals in Colorado, a National Register of Historic Places. Needless to say, this has stirred up a hornet’s nest of concern. It was discussed in some detail at the CWC convention in January.

Reclamation is doing their own “Colorado River Basin Study”. This of course includes all states, not just Colorado. There will be an interim report in March. The goal of the study will try to answer two questions: 1. What is the future reliability of the Colorado River System to meet the needs of Basin resources? 2. What are the options and strategies to mitigate future risks to these resources?

**INFORMATIONAL ITEMS:**

The Board was provided written material on the following topics, which were posted to the Board website:

- Water Court Résumé
- Year-End OM&R Update
- Legal Matters
  - Donala Water & Sanitation District (Case No. 09CW73-Division 2)
- Family Farm Alliance Meeting – February 24-25, 2011
- NWRA Federal Water Issues Seminar – April 4-6

**OTHER BUSINESS MATTERS:**

President Long asked if there were any other matters to come before the meeting, and hearing none, adjourned the meeting at 12:14 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Toni Gonzales  
Administrative Manager

\_\_\_\_\_  
Secretary

SECWCD  
February 17, 2011  
12

RECORD OF PROCEEDING



---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---